

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/761,121 01/16/2001		Robyn R. Levine	END920000173US1 2906	2906	
7590 05/24/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
John R. Pivnichny			RETTA, Y	RETTA, YEHDEGA	
IBM Corporation	on, N50/040-4				
1701 North Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Endicott, NY 13760			3622		

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/761,121	LEVINE, ROBYN R.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Yehdega Retta	3622
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	<u> </u>	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Fe 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		•
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,12-18 and 20-23 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,12-18 and 20-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/761,121

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This office action in response to Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed February 15, 2005. Claims 1 and 21-23 have been amended. Claims 1-9, 12-18, 20-23 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the method of claim 11, wherein said baseline parameter is a block or group". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 12 currently depends on claim 11, which is canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the

reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-3, 6, 9, 12-18, 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Roberts et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,101,486.

Regarding claims 1-3, Roberts teaches determining point of contact constraints of user (see col. 5 lines 25-40); retrieving a profile and current action of user, which is grouped to presenting a lifestyle or lifestage view of user (see col. 6 line 60 to col. 7 line 16) and delivering content (opportunity) to user, delivered to the user based on the point of contact, profiled past and current action; wherein the point of contact comprises of computer, set top or television with Internet access; (see fig. 3&4, col. 4 lines 24 to col. 5 lines 40, col. 6 lines 12 to col. 7 line 46). Robert teaches delivering an opportunity to user <u>by creating a vision of a supplier's core competencies based on constraints of said point of contact and profiled past and current action (personalized or customized information message) (see col. 6 line to col. 7 lines 10), <u>consistent with the vision by merging together and optimizing said vision with the suppliers channel awareness</u> (providing voice communication with the customer (see col. 5 line 25 to col. 6 line</u>

Regarding claims 6, 9 and 12-17, Roberts teaches profile including demographic data; layered demographic profile; block or group (see col. 4 lines 33-67); wherein the profiled past includes data generated by data-mining of navigational and transaction information or user submitted data or purchased data or combination thereof (see col. 5 line 65 to col. 6 line 13); current action including transaction including of listings of purchases or payment; current action

including click-stream data such as page hits, sequence of hits, duration etc., (see col. 4 lines 33-44, col. 5 lines 1-24 and col. 6 line 36 to col. 7 line 9).

Regarding claim 18, Roberts teaches sending a personalized web page to user (see col. 2 line 60 to col. 3 line 10, col. 6 lines 12-35, col. 7 lines 10-17).

Regarding claim 20, Roberts teaches delivering a take action opportunity (see 4 lines 44-67, col. 5 lines 55 to col. 6 line 11).

Claims 21-23 are rejected as stated above in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,101,486 further in view of Lee et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,829,475. Regarding claims 4 and 5, Robert does not teach point of contact constraints includes a location indication including GPS system coordinates. Lee teaches GPS receiver 110 that continuously reports the vehicle's longitude, latitude and altitude, location indication and providing advertisements (col. 11 line 60 to col. 12 line 3). Lee teaches providing mapping services to the vehicle showing travel routes or locations of interest and coupled with the advertising database drivers can see map locations related to recent advertisements and get navigation guidance to these locations. For example, the driver could get directions to the nearest chain restaurant

whose commercial just played offering a lunch special. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a location enhanced advertisement or opportunity, as in Lee, in Robert's customized marketing message in order to provide the advantage taught by Lee.

Regarding claims 7 and 8, Roberts does not explicitly teach anonymous demographic data supplied by third party. Official notice is taken that is old and well known to acquire user's anonymous demographic profile from a third party, such as ISP. It is well known for third party to provide information without compromising the privacy of users. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to supply anonymous data, since users are hesitant to provide their information to third parties for privacy reasons.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yehdega Retta whose telephone number is (571) 272-6723. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RETTAYEHDEGA

Art Unit 3622

YR